

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/867,288	05/29/2001	Mika Suila	004770.01148	6223
22907 BANNER & W	7590 08/30/2007 /ITCOFF, LTD.		EXAMINER	
1100 13th STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200			BURGESS, BARBARA N	
	N, DC 20005-4051		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2157	
				•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		08/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/867,288	SUILA ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Barbara N. Burgess	2157	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED 23 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu e of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	idavit, or other evident compliance with 37 CF ust be filed within one in the final rejection, which	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following chever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE		
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to within the time period set forth in 3	avoid dismissal of the 37 CFR 41.37(a).	e appeal. Since
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO <sup>-</sup> w);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27 and 56-75</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>28-55, 76-101</u> .	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wil vided below or appended.	I be entered and an ex	oplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeary and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

see attached Office Action.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

11. 

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Advisory Action is in response to After-Final amendment filed July 23, 2007. Claims 1-27 and 56-75 are presented for further examination.

## Response to Arguments

## The Office notes the following arguments:

- (a) There is no disclosure that one base station can send a request to a server, which provides an output to an output device that is separate from the base station that submitted the request.
- (b) There is no teaching of an information server system (ISS) that is "configured to determine a communication method from multiple communication methods, and for transmitting the P/S-Info using the determined communication method from the multiple communication methods".
- 1. Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

#### In Response to:

(a) Sussman is cited for teaching the limitation "said output device being separate from the PSA". According to the claim language, the output device is used "for receiving P/S-Info from said ISS and outputting the P/S-Info to the user." Sussman teaches a bar code scanner (PSA) that scans UPCs which are available on product labels and product catalogs. The scanned bar codes or UPCs are sent to a database by a base station. A base station such as an Internet appliance, PDA, PC, or cell phone (output device) receives textual descriptions of a scanned UPC, coupons, and other UPC supporting information (P/S-Info) from a UPC database stored at a

Art Unit: 2157

merchant (website) (ISS) via the Internet. The UPC supporting information (P/S-Info) can be printed out for the consumer on any printer available on the base station (output device) (Abstract, paragraphs [0029-0030, 0032, 0034, 0046]).

Therefore, Sussman, indeed, discloses, "said output device being separate from the PSA".

As well, Durst is cited for teaching an output device for receiving P/S-Info from said ISS and outputting the P/S-Info to the user (column 3, lines 65-67, column 4, lines 1-7, column 6, lines 10-28).

(b) Durst discloses the information server sending the requested product information to the requesting client device (column 3, lines 17-25, column 6, lines 11-15, 20-22). The client may be any type of computing device capable of accessing the network such as a personal computer connected to the Internet. The client may also be a web-enabled cell phone, PDA, etc. As well, communication between the information server and the client device may be implemented over different types of distributed computing networks such as Internet, intranet, a wireless network, and various combinations thereof (column 5, lines 23-42).

According to Applicant's disclosure, a PDA (PSA) is used to request information from the information server (ISS) and the information server (ISS) returning the requested information to the PDA via radiofrequency (RF) transmission (page 14-15, paragraph [0026]). Again, Durst discloses the client device (PSA) being a PDA and the information server (ISS) utilizing the appropriate communication to return requested information (column 5, lines 36-38, column 6, lines 11-15).

Art Unit: 2157

Therefore, Durst, undoubtedly, discloses "a server for determining a communication method from multiple communication methods and transmitting P/S-info using the determined communication method from the multiple communication methods".

Durst further discloses the information server and client device interconnected for selective communication with each other as required by the system. Communication could be implemented by Internet, intranet, wireless network, and various combinations thereof (column 5, lines 23-32). Durst explicitly discloses selecting communication that is required for the device involved in communication with each other.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barbara N. Burgess whose telephone number is (571) 272-3996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00am-4:00pm). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Ettinene can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Barbara N Burgess Examiner Art Unit 2157

August 22, 2007